

**Advanced Particle Physics 04/05**  
**Dr Gavin Davies - Problem Sheet 2 Answers**

1. Combining the four matrices together gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 + \alpha_3 & \alpha_1 - i\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 + i\alpha_2 & \alpha_0 - \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

so solving for the  $\alpha_i$  gives

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{a+d}{2}, \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{c+b}{2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{c-b}{2i}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{a-d}{2}$$

which can always be solved. Hence, any choice for  $\gamma^0$  can be broken down into these matrices so we try

$$\gamma^0 = \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 \sigma_1 + \alpha_2 \sigma_2 + \alpha_3 \sigma_3$$

Taking for example the anticommutator with  $\gamma^1 = i\sigma_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^0 \gamma^1 + \gamma^1 \gamma^0 = 0 &= (\alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 \sigma_1 + \alpha_2 \sigma_2 + \alpha_3 \sigma_3) i\sigma_1 + i\sigma_1 (\alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 \sigma_1 + \alpha_2 \sigma_2 + \alpha_3 \sigma_3) \\ &= 2i\alpha_0 \sigma_1 + 2i\alpha_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_1 + i\alpha_2 (\sigma_2 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2) + i\alpha_3 (\sigma_3 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_3) \end{aligned}$$

But using the properties of the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_1 = 1, \quad \sigma_2 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = 2\delta_{21} = 0, \quad \sigma_3 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_3 = 2\delta_{31} = 0$$

then

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^1 + \gamma^1 \gamma^0 = 0 = 2i\alpha_0 \sigma_1 + 2i\alpha_1$$

for which only a  $\gamma^0$  with  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$  will give the right result. Similarly, by anticommuting with  $\gamma^2$  and  $\gamma^3$ , then  $\gamma^0$  also requires  $\alpha_2 = 0$  and  $\alpha_3 = 0$ , respectively, which means  $\gamma^0 = 0$ . This is not an acceptable solution as  $\gamma^0 \gamma^0 = 1$ . Hence, there is no non-zero  $\gamma^0$  possible in  $2 \times 2$  for this choice of the  $\gamma^i$ .

For the  $3 \times 3$  case, we want to find the trace of the matrices, for example  $\gamma^0$ . We know from the fundamental relation that

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^1 + \gamma^1 \gamma^0 = 0$$

so

$$\gamma^1 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 + \gamma^1 \gamma^1 \gamma^0 = 0$$

Also from the fundamental relation, we have

$$\gamma^1 \gamma^1 = -1$$

so

$$\gamma^0 = \gamma^1 \gamma^0 \gamma^1$$

Taking the trace of both sides

$$Tr(\gamma^0) = Tr(\gamma^1 \gamma^0 \gamma^1) = Tr(\gamma^1 \gamma^1 \gamma^0) = -Tr(\gamma^0)$$

and so  $Tr(\gamma^0)$  must be zero. The same holds for any of the  $\gamma^\mu$ .

The eigenvalues,  $\lambda$ , and eigenvectors,  $v$ , of any one of the matrices by definition satisfy

$$\gamma^\mu v = \lambda v$$

Therefore, specifically *not* doing implied summation here

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\mu v = \lambda \gamma^\mu v = \lambda^2 v$$

But from the fundamental relation

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\mu = g^{\mu\mu}$$

so

$$\lambda = \pm \sqrt{g^{\mu\mu}}$$

or, explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \pm 1 & \text{for } \mu &= 0 \\ \lambda &= \pm i & \text{for } \mu &= 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $\gamma^0$ , which has eigenvalues of  $\pm 1$ . If there are  $n_+$  eigenvalues of  $+1$  and  $n_-$  of  $-1$ , then using the general property of the trace of any matrix

$$Tr(\gamma^0) = \sum_i \lambda_i$$

and the zero value of the trace proved above, then

$$\begin{aligned} n_+ - n_- &= 0 \\ n_+ &= n_- \end{aligned}$$

However, the total number of eigenvalues is equal to the dimension of the matrix, so the dimension of  $\gamma^0$  is  $n_+ + n_- = 2n_+$  and so must be even. A similar argument holds for the other gamma matrices.

2. For the new matrices given by

$$\gamma'^\mu = U \gamma^\mu U^{-1}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'^\mu \gamma'^\nu + \gamma'^\nu \gamma'^\mu &= U \gamma^\mu U^{-1} U \gamma^\nu U^{-1} + U \gamma^\nu U^{-1} U \gamma^\mu U^{-1} \\ &= U \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu U^{-1} + U \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu U^{-1} \\ &= U (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) U^{-1} \\ &= 2g^{\mu\nu} U U^{-1} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

also satisfies the relation.

The new solution satisfies

$$i \gamma'^\mu \partial_\mu \psi' = m \psi'$$

so

$$i U \gamma^\mu U^{-1} \partial_\mu \psi' = m \psi'$$

or

$$i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (U^{-1} \psi') = m (U^{-1} \psi')$$

so that we can identify  $U^{-1} \psi' = \psi$  and so  $\psi' = U \psi$ .

Taking the Hermitian conjugate of this relation

$$\psi'^\dagger = (U \psi)^\dagger = \psi^\dagger U^\dagger$$

To preserve the normalisation then

$$\psi^\dagger \psi = \psi'^\dagger \psi' = \psi^\dagger U^\dagger U \psi$$

which is clearly true if  $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$ .

The bilinear combinations contain  $\bar{\psi}$ , which transforms as

$$\bar{\psi}' = \psi'^\dagger \gamma^{0'} = \psi^\dagger U^\dagger U \gamma^0 U^{-1} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 U^{-1} = \bar{\psi} U^{-1}$$

so any bilinear combination transforms as

$$\bar{\psi}' \gamma'^\alpha \dots \gamma'^\zeta \psi' = \bar{\psi} U^{-1} U \gamma^\alpha U^{-1} \dots U \gamma^\zeta U^{-1} U \psi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\alpha \dots \gamma^\zeta \psi$$

and so is not changed by the change in the  $\gamma^\mu$  matrices.

3. Consider

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_x] = [-i\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} + m\gamma^0, -i(y\partial_3 - z\partial_2)]$$

The complication arises because of the  $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$  term operating on terms like  $y\partial_3$ . It is easiest to handle this as separate commutators, so writing  $y = x^2$  and  $z = x^3$ , then

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_x] = -[\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}, x^2 \partial_3] + [\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}, x^3 \partial_2] - i[m\gamma^0, x^2 \partial_3] + i[m\gamma^0, x^3 \partial_2]$$

Consider the first of these

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}, x^2 \partial_3] &= \gamma^0 (\gamma^1 \partial_1 + \gamma^2 \partial_2 + \gamma^3 \partial_3) (x^2 \partial_3) - (x^2 \partial_3) \gamma^0 (\gamma^1 \partial_1 + \gamma^2 \partial_2 + \gamma^3 \partial_3) \\ &= x^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \partial_1 \partial_3 + \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \partial_2 \partial_3 + x^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \partial_2 \partial_3 + x^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^3 \partial_3 \partial_3 \\ &\quad - (x^2 \partial_3) \gamma^0 (\gamma^1 \partial_1 + \gamma^2 \partial_2 + \gamma^3 \partial_3) \\ &= (x^2 \partial_3) \gamma^0 (\gamma^1 \partial_1 + \gamma^2 \partial_2 + \gamma^3 \partial_3) + \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \partial_3 \\ &\quad - (x^2 \partial_3) \gamma^0 (\gamma^1 \partial_1 + \gamma^2 \partial_2 + \gamma^3 \partial_3) \\ &= \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \partial_3 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$[\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}, x^3 \partial_2] = \gamma^0 \gamma^3 \partial_2$$

The other two commutators are easier

$$-i[m\gamma^0, x^2 \partial_3] = -im\gamma^0 x^2 \partial_3 + imx^2 \partial_3 \gamma^0$$

but as nothing to the right of the derivative depends on the spatial coordinates, then this gives zero. Hence for both

$$-i[m\gamma^0, x^2 \partial_3] = i[m\gamma^0, x^3 \partial_2] = 0$$

This gives

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_x] = -\gamma^0 \gamma^2 \partial_3 + \gamma^0 \gamma^3 \partial_2 = -\gamma^0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla})_x$$

so generally, for all three components

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{L}}] = -\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}$$

For the spin operator, then the commutator of the  $x$  component with the Hamiltonian is

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{S}_x] = \left[ -i\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla + m\gamma^0, \frac{1}{2}\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 \right]$$

which again can be considered as separate commutators

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{S}_x] = -\frac{i}{2} [\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla, \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1] + \frac{m}{2} [\gamma^0, \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1]$$

In this case, there are no spatial components, so  $\nabla$  does not operate on anything. The complications arise because the  $\gamma$  matrices do not commute. Consider the first term and pull through the  $\gamma^5$  matrix, using the fundamental relation

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla, \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1] &= \gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \\ &= -\gamma^0\gamma^5 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \\ &= \gamma^5\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \end{aligned}$$

Next, pull through the  $\gamma^0$  and  $\gamma^1$  matrices

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla, \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1] &= -\gamma^5\gamma^0\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \\ &= -\gamma^5\gamma^0\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 (\gamma^1\partial_1 - \gamma^2\partial_2 - \gamma^3\partial_3) - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \\ &= \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 - \gamma^2\partial_2 - \gamma^3\partial_3) - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^1\partial_1 + \gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \\ &= -2\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \end{aligned}$$

This can be reduced to a simpler form. Remembering that  $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3$  and  $\gamma^5\gamma^5 = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla, \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1] &= -2\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 (\gamma^2\partial_2 + \gamma^3\partial_3) \\ &= -2\gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\partial_2 - 2\gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_3 \\ &= 2\gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_2 + 2\gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_3 \\ &= 2\gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_2 - 2\gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\partial_3 \\ &= 2\gamma^0\gamma^5(-i\gamma^5)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_2 - 2\gamma^0\gamma^5(-i\gamma^5)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\partial_3 \\ &= -2i\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_2 + 2i\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\partial_3 \\ &= 2i\gamma^0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\partial_3 - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_2) \end{aligned}$$

The second commutator is again easier

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^0, \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1] &= \gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\gamma^0 \\ &= -\gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1 - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \\ &= \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 - \gamma^5\gamma^0\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

so overall the result is

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{S}_x] &= -\frac{i}{2} [2i\gamma^0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\partial_3 - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_2)] \\ &= \gamma^0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\partial_3 - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\partial_2) \\ &= \gamma^0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \times \nabla)_x \end{aligned}$$

and so, for all three components

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}] = \gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}$$

It is therefore obvious the sum of the two commutators for  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  will give zero, so the total angular momentum is conserved.

4. (i) A parity operation reflects each of the three spatial coordinate axes through the origin, so that  $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow -\mathbf{r}$ .

Under a parity operation, a polar vector is any vector which acts in the same way as  $\mathbf{r}$  above, i.e. each component is reflected. An axial vector has no change of its coordinates under a parity operation. Examples of polar vectors include position  $\mathbf{r}$ , momentum  $\mathbf{p}$  and the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$ . Examples of axial vectors include angular momentum  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$  (since both  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  change sign) and the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$ .

If a system is covariant under a parity operation, then the equations governing it do not change form under such an operation, i.e. they have a symmetry under the parity operation. There is a general connection between such a symmetry and a conservation law. In this case, the symmetry leads to the conservation of a quantity, also called parity. Because the operation is discrete, the allowed values of the parity quantity are also discrete. For a system, then if

$$\hat{P}\psi = P\psi$$

then applying the operation a second time gives

$$\hat{P}\hat{P}\psi = P\hat{P}\psi = P^2\psi$$

However, by definition, applying the operation twice returns the system to the original state, so  $P^2 = 1$  and therefore  $P = \pm 1$ .

- (ii) Under a parity operation, the  $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$  operator changes sign, so the parity-inverted Dirac equation is

$$i\gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi' - i\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi' - m\psi' = 0,$$

Multiplying from the left by  $\gamma^0$ , then this becomes

$$i\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi' - i\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi' - m\gamma^0 \psi' = 0$$

Using the properties of the  $\gamma$  matrices

$$\gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma^0 = 0$$

this becomes

$$i\gamma^0 \partial_0 (\gamma^0 \psi') + i\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\gamma^0 \psi') - m(\gamma^0 \psi') = 0$$

which is the original Dirac equation with a solution  $\gamma^0 \psi'$ . This must be  $\psi$ , so

$$\gamma^0 \psi' = \psi$$

and using

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^0 = 1$$

then

$$\gamma^0 \psi = \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \psi' = \psi' = \hat{P}\psi$$

(iii) The parity-transformed Hermitian conjugate is

$$\psi'^{\dagger} = (\gamma^0 \psi)^{\dagger} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^{0\dagger} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^0$$

where  $\gamma^{0\dagger} = \gamma^0$  is needed due to the requirement that the Hamiltonian is Hermitian. The parity-transformed adjoint is then

$$\bar{\psi}' = \psi'^{\dagger} \gamma^0 = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0$$

Hence, under a parity operation, the four-vector  $J_X^{\mu}$  becomes

$$J_X'^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}' \gamma^{\mu} \phi' = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^0 \phi$$

Hence, the time and spatial components change as

$$J_X'^0 = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \phi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \phi = J_X^0$$

and

$$J_X'^i = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 \phi = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^i \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \phi = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^i \phi = -J_X^i$$

The time component is unchanged and the spatial components change sign, so this is a polar vector. Similarly, for  $J_Y^{\mu}$

$$J_Y'^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}' \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \phi' = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \gamma^0 \phi = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi$$

Hence, the time and spatial components change as

$$J_Y'^0 = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi = -J_Y^0$$

and

$$J_Y'^i = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^i \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^i \gamma^5 \phi = J_Y^i$$

Here, the time component changes sign and the spatial ones do not, so this is an axial vector.

(iv) Only  $J_X^{\mu}$  takes part in electromagnetic and strong interactions. Therefore, there is only one type of vector involved and parity is conserved. In weak interactions, both  $J_X^{\mu}$  and  $J_Y^{\mu}$  participate and this lack of a definite vector type under parity operations gives rise to the non-conservation of parity in weak interactions.

The classic example of parity non-conservation is the original experiment by Wu. The spins of  $^{60}\text{Co}$  atoms were aligned in a magnetic field and the subsequent beta decays observed. The emitted electrons were found to have an angular distribution of  $(1 - \beta \cos \theta)$  with respect to the magnetic field. Under a parity transformation, the magnetic field would be unchanged (as it is an axial vector) while the electron momentum would be reversed (as it is a polar vector). The resulting distribution would then be  $(1 + \beta \cos \theta)$ , which is clearly not covariant.